

Q13 What other comments do you wish to make on this Neighbourhood Plan?

Answered: 38 Skipped: 18

#	Responses	Date
1	<p>Thank you for your consultation on the above in your email of 3 January 2017. Natural England is a non-departmental public body. Our statutory purpose is to ensure that the natural environment is conserved, enhanced, and managed for the benefit of present and future generations, thereby contributing to sustainable development. You will be aware that Natural England provided advice to Peterborough City Council on the SEA Screening Report for the Castor Neighbourhood Plan in our letter dated 20 October 2016. Natural England welcomes the strong commitment in the Plan policies to the protection and enhancement of the landscape, nature conservation and local green open spaces. We advise that, in so far as our strategic environmental interests are concerned (including but not limited to statutory designated sites, landscapes and protected species, geology and soils) are concerned, that there are unlikely to be significant environmental effects from the proposed plan. The Plan fully recognises the ecological value of the surrounding area including Castor Hanglands Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) and National Nature Reserve and Castor Flood Meadows SSSI. Natural England welcomes acknowledgement within the Plan of the need to protect and enhance the important, scarce and often sensitive habitats and species that these sites and the surrounding countryside support. We note that the Plan seeks to promote only very small scale development and includes policies to protect and enhance the natural environment. We particularly support Policy CLU1 Environmental impact which seeks to ensure that development will protect and enhance the ecological network. We welcome that developments that incorporate measures to sustain wildlife will be encouraged. In particular, we welcome that Castor Hanglands SSSI, and related nationally and regionally important wildlife sites, will be protected. Development proposals that will have an adverse effect on these sites will not be permitted. Natural England welcomes that the Parish Council will work with Nene Park Trust, The Langdyke Trust and The Friends of Castor Hanglands and Ailsworth Heath (FOCHA) to ensure that the wildlife and countryside of the parish are protected. We hope you will find these comments helpful. For any queries relating to the specific advice in this letter only please contact Janet Nuttall on 020 802 65894. For any new consultations, or to provide further information on this consultation please send your correspondences to consultations@naturalengland.org.uk.</p>	2/20/2017 9:01 AM
2	<p>[The letter from which these comments are extracted] is intended to act as a formal comment on the draft Castor is sent on behalf of Milton (Peterborough) Company (hereafter referred to as Milton) which owns a significant area of farmland and woodland in Castor parish as well as residential and commercial property in the village. Milton NeighbourhoodPlan2}IT Estates -2037.It also provides employment for local inhabitants. Milton is wholly supportive of a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared on behalf of the parish and the general principles expressed within the pre-submission consultation draft. There is a strong historical connection between Milton Hall, Milton Park, and its wider Estate. Milton is keen that this historical association is recognised and cherished. I have endeavoured to make my comments in the same order as the document:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introduction Paragraph 1.7 - believe it would be correct to refer to the Adopted ! Development Plan 2015 which comprises of a suite of documents including the 2011 Core Strategy. It would perhaps be sensible to indicate that the plan is both intended and considered to accord with the Core Strategy. 2. Backeround Paragraph 2.1.4 - it might be of interest to make reference to the Enclosure Ailsworth and Castor parishes were dealt with jointly. Award of 1898 process resulted in some residual areas being left as registered common land That - and these are perhaps worthy of highlighting and protecting in their own right. A source for identifying these is www.magic.gov.uk. The layers for registered common land and countryside access rights can be found under the 'Access Group' in the table of contents. I hope that these comments are of some assistance and wish you well in completing the preparation of the Neighbourhood Plan. 	2/20/2017 8:48 AM

<p>3</p>	<p>These are representations on behalf of our client, the Homes and Communities Agency ('HCA'), landowner of Great Kyne (land north of Castor and Ailsworth - Draft Local Plan policy reference LP35). The boundary of the Castor Neighbourhood Area (designated 2nd September 2014) covers a large tract of land, including part of the HCA's landholding (see Appendix 1). The HCA welcome the positive vision and objectives within the draft neighbourhood plan, which complement the draft Peterborough City Council ('PCC') Local Plan and emerging strategic policies contained therein. The below comments concern matters of detailed policy wording and application and should not be interpreted as an objection against the neighbourhood plan as a whole. The HCA are supportive of the emerging neighbourhood plans for Castor and Ailsworth and it is clear a great deal of time and effort has gone into their production. The HCA would welcome the opportunity to work with both groups moving forward as the plans are refined leading up to submission. Please find enclosed representations on behalf of our client, the Homes and Communities Agency ('HCA'), landowner of Great Kyne (land north of Castor and Ailsworth - Draft Local Plan policy reference LP35). The boundary of the Castor Neighbourhood Area (designated 2nd September 2014) covers a large tract of land, including part of the HCA's landholding (see Appendix 1). The HCA welcome the positive vision and objectives within the draft neighbourhood plan, which complement the draft Peterborough City Council ('PCC') Local Plan and emerging strategic policies contained therein. The below comments concern matters of detailed policy wording and application and should not be interpreted as an objection against the neighbourhood plan as a whole. The HCA are supportive of the emerging neighbourhood plans for Castor and Ailsworth and it is clear a great deal of time and effort has gone into their production. The HCA would welcome the opportunity to work with both groups moving forward as the plans are refined leading up to submission.</p>	<p>2/19/2017 3:22 PM</p>
<p>4</p>	<p>Land around WOODlands SPorts CLub should be extended to SPLash Lane.</p>	<p>2/17/2017 7:47 PM</p>
<p>5</p>	<p>You need to prove that there is no other brownfield site that could be used first. You should not destroy food producing farmland and land which has world unique natural and cultural ties.</p>	<p>2/17/2017 6:15 PM</p>
<p>6</p>	<p>I fully support the views of the Plan that sustainable and sensitive housing development is needed to keep our community growing and thriving and that no large out-of-scale developments should be permitted.</p>	<p>2/17/2017 1:59 PM</p>
<p>7</p>	<p>Introduction 1.1 Peterborough City Council (PCC) was consulted on the pre-submission draft of the Castor Neighbourhood Plan (CNP) during the formal 6 week Regulation 14 consultation between 3 January and 17 February 2017. 1.2 PCC has provided assistance to the group producing the CNP since the neighbourhood area was designated in September 2014. PCC would like to congratulate the neighbourhood planning group on reaching this milestone, and for all of the effort that has gone into producing the plan and the evidence that underpins it. Purpose of this Report 1.3 The comments in this report are intended to assist the group in making the final changes necessary to the plan in advance of submitting it to PCC. These comments are intended to: - enhance the deliverability of the plan; - assist in making it clear for all readers; - help ensure the policies make it through examination without amendment; and - assist in a successful referendum. It also offers a view on whether the plan and its policies meet the Basic Conditions in accordance with statutory requirements in Paragraph 8(2) of Schedule 4B to the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as introduced through the Localism Act 2011. The Basic Conditions are that the plan: - has regard to national policies and advice contained in guidance issued by the Secretary of State; - contributes to the achievement of sustainable development; - is in general conformity with the strategic policies contained in the development plan for the area of the authority; - does not breach, and is otherwise compatible with EU obligations; and - satisfies any other prescribed conditions are met and prescribed matters have been complied with in connection with the plan. It should be noted that the development plan for Peterborough currently consists of the Local Plan (which consists of the Core Strategy DPD adopted 2011, the Site Allocations DPD adopted 2012, the Planning Policies DPD adopted 2012, and the City Centre DPD adopted December 2015), the Minerals and Waste Core Strategy adopted 2011, and the Minerals and Waste Site Specific Proposals DPD adopted in 2012. However, it should also be noted that PCC is currently producing a new Local Plan to replace the adopted Local Plan. This new Local Plan is currently anticipated to be adopted in May 2018 and so it would be prudent for the policies of this emerging plan to be taken into account in your plan to avoid any possible future conflict. The policies of the CNP have been reviewed against both the adopted and emerging Local Plans as well as against any relevant parts of the Minerals and Waste Plan. 1.4 Please note that the comments below do not identify spelling and grammar issues, of which some were found during the review. After revising the neighbourhood plan in light of comments received during the regulation 14 pre-submission consultation, the neighbourhood planning group should do a thorough read through of the CNP before submitting it to PCC to ensure that spelling and grammatical errors are addressed. 1.5 As part of the submission of the plan, the neighbourhood planning group will need to produce a Consultation Statement and a Basic Conditions Statements, along with fulfilling other requirements of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations (as amended). It is recommended that these two 2 documents are sent to PCC prior to submission for a quality check to ensure that they satisfy the regulations and that they will be accessible and usable for the examiner. Conclusion 1.6 The CNP is well presented and user-friendly, with good use of photographs and illustrations, and it is generally fit for purpose as a planning policy document, although the wording of and approach to some of the policies would benefit from revision. 1.7 Overall, it is considered that the Castor Neighbourhood Plan, subject to the below comments and recommendations, meets the basic conditions as required by regulations. It is recommended that the following comments are taken on board prior to submitting the plan to PCC. Section / Policy Comments General - The approach of only including the planning-related matters in the neighbourhood plan and pursuing non-planning matters through a separate Community Action Plan is supported as this avoids any potential confusion about what is or is not part of the neighbourhood plan. - There are a couple of instances where the paragraph numbering is not included or</p>	<p>2/17/2017 10:42 AM</p>

is not consistent. It is recommended that this is reviewed before the plan is submitted to ensure it is clear for the reader. Paragraph 1.7 ↘ The wording of this paragraph should be amended slightly in order to be more accurate and to ensure it accounts for the future replacement of the NPPF and Local Plan. It is recommended that this is amended to read: "However, all neighbourhood plans must be in line with higher level planning policy, namely the National Planning Policy Framework and the Peterborough Local Plan. The overall requirement is that a Neighbourhood Plan has a presumption in favour of sustainable development." 2.1 Location and History of the Parish ↘ This information is interesting and it provides a useful context. 2.2 How this Neighbourhood Plan was Prepared ↘ As a reminder, your plan will need to be accompanied with a consultation statement at submission which will include full details of the consultation undertaken. As such, the details do not need to be repeated here, but you can retain them if you want. 3. Vision ↘ The contents of the vision is broadly supported. 4. Objectives ↘ The objectives are broadly supported as being suitable for Castor. ↘ A paragraph number should be added to the first paragraph for consistency throughout the plan. 5. Strategy ↘ The strategy section seems to include some elements of strategy with some information relating to wider planning policy context. Would it be clearer for the reader if this section was entitled 'Strategy and Planning Policy Context' and if the subsections were more defined? Paragraph 5.5 ↘ The Peterborough Local Plan is not at regional level, it is recommended that this heading is renamed 'District'. 6. Policies ↘ The paragraph formatting differs in this section against other sections (here it starts 6.01 whereas in other sections it was 5.1, for example). It is noted that throughout the rest of this section a different paragraph structure is used to 3 Section / Policy Comments account for the subsections, but it would be sensible to review all the paragraph numbering to ensure that it is applied consistently throughout. ↘ In the community feedback paragraphs in each subsection of the policies section, there is a section on the consultation that has taken place. This is helpful for the Reg 14 stage but not necessary for your next version of the plan as the consultation process has been set out elsewhere in the plan, and in the forthcoming Consultation Statement. It is recommended, therefore, that these sections be deleted or significantly streamlined to remove unnecessary duplication. Paragraph 6.01 ↘ The wording of the second sentence in this paragraph needs to be amended slightly to more accurately reflect the application of the plan: "Applicants and decision makers should consider all relevant policies in this plan as well as those in the latest adopted Local Plan when developing and deciding upon proposals." Paragraph 6.02 ↘ This paragraph seems to largely replicate the wording in the vision and objectives and its repetition is not necessary in this location. Also the statement in the paragraph following the bulleted list is not necessary to include. To avoid confusion, it is suggested this para be deleted. Paragraph 6.03-6.05 ↘ These paragraphs provide a useful explanation of the layout of the section to help readers to understand and navigate. Policy Area 1 – Housing and the Built Environment ↘ It is acknowledged that the preparation of this Neighbourhood Plan (and, of course, the complementary Ailsworth one) is being done to a similar timetable to the preparation of a new Peterborough Local Plan. Whilst uncertain at this stage, it may well be that the Neighbourhood Plan is 'adopted' slightly before the wider Local Plan. Inevitably, this parallel process has the potential for conflict in policy formulation and confusion for the reader. ↘ Whilst acknowledging the above challenge, it is considered that this section should be more forthcoming about the fact that, in the recent Further Draft consultation, the new Local Plan included land to the north of the A47 as a proposed strategic allocation and that, if included in the final Local Plan, it would deliver significant growth within the parish. Entirely avoiding any mention of this proposal in your Neighbourhood Plan is not helpful to the reader. It is an important piece of context and residents may think it strange that there is no reference. PCC can work with you on what might be suitable wording for your Neighbourhood Plan. ↘ Notwithstanding the above, it is essential to be made clear that the policies in this section relating to the amount of growth only apply to the village area and not the parish area. Paragraph 6.1.1.2 ↘ It is not clear what a 'layer of growth is', this wording should be reviewed. For example: "It is important that future growth creates high quality development that contributes to the character of the village and provides local benefit." Paragraph 6.1.1.4 ↘ As the neighbourhood plan proposes only small sites to come forward of 10 or fewer homes, but the Local Plan (both existing and emerging) only seeks affordable housing contributions from sites of 15 or more dwellings, it is very unlikely that any affordable housing will be delivered as suggested in this paragraph. This wording should be amended to remove reference to affordable housing if the cap on dwelling numbers is retained. 6.1.2 Community Feedback ↘ It is recommended that a paragraph number should be added to the first paragraph for consistency. 6.1.3 Supporting Plans and Policies ↘ It is recommended that paragraph numbering should be added for consistency

8	The birds, the hares, the foxes, the shrews , the butterflies, the moths, people collecting blackberries. Once taken, it will be gone forever	2/16/2017 9:40 PM
---	---	-------------------

9	<p>Environment Agency Nene House Pytchley Lodge Road, Kettering, Northamptonshire, NN15 6JQ. Customer services line: 03708 506 506 www.gov.uk/environment-agency Cont/d.. Sarah Rodger Parish Clerk 15 Station Road Nassington Peterborough PE8 6QB Our ref: AN/2015/122967/OT-01/SB1-L01 Your ref: Date: 16 February 2017 Dear Sarah Draft Castor Neighbourhood Plan Thank you for referring the draft Neighbourhood Plan for Castor, which was received on 03 January 2017. As the plan is required to be in general conformity with the National Planning Policy Framework and Peterborough Local Plan, we do not consider that we are able to provide you with further advice at this stage until we are consulted on individual planning applications by Peterborough City Council. However, we offer the following comments which may be of use: Groundwater and Contaminated Land There are no licensed groundwater abstractions in the area. However, a domestic public water supply borehole is understood to be present at the Old Mill on the Black Dike tributary of the River Nene (NGR TL 12804 96837). This is understood to be spring fed and serves more than one dwelling. In addition, there is a deregulated abstraction located at Castor (NGR TL12350 98390), which is recorded as being for general agriculture and domestic use. The Parish is not underlain by any Source Protection Zones (SPZ), however, the private domestic abstractions are assumed to have a bespoke SPZ1 of at least 50 metres, in line our approach to groundwater protection There are no known current or historical landfill sites within the Parish boundary. However, two historic landfill sites (Ailsworth Road and Ben Johnsons Pit) are located approximately 0.6 km to the north-east of the Parish Boundary. In 2001, Peterborough City Council determined these sites as Contaminated Land under Part 2A of the Environmental Protection Act 1990. The landfill sites were subsequently determined as a Special Site under Part 2A, as a result of the significant groundwater contamination impacting the Lincolnshire Limestone and Public Water Supply abstraction at Etton. The contaminant plumes from these landfill sites are not currently known to be impacting groundwater quality below the Parish. However, this information has been provided for awareness and consideration for any proposed future groundwater abstractions, particularly in the far northern parts of the Parish. Further information can be provided by the Environment Agency on request. Preliminary Opinion We are able to provide a free preliminary opinion to a developer/applicant per site. This will outline our position and highlights any key environmental risks that we are concerned about as a statutory consultee and provide developers with an idea of what we would expect to receive within a planning application. Charged Service for Planning Advice If further bespoke advice is required outside of a formal planning application then this will form part of our charged for planning advice service. Please note that this response is based on the information provided at this time and if this changes in the future we would need to consider our position again. We trust that the above information is of assistance. If you would like to discuss our response further, or would like more information about our charged for planning advice service, please do not hesitate to contact me. Yours faithfully Jennifer Moffatt Sustainable Places Planning Adviser Direct dial-02030 253488</p>	2/16/2017 2:09 PM
10	I support the content of all policies in the Castor NP	2/15/2017 8:37 PM
11	I fully support the Castor NP in all its policies' contents	2/15/2017 8:33 PM
12	Really pleased finally got there and has both of our full support	2/15/2017 7:01 PM
13	I would like to fully endorse the submission presented by Castor and Ailsworth Parish council	2/15/2017 6:10 PM
14	<p>Nene Park Trust Response to Castor Neighbourhood Plan 15 February 2017 Nene Park Trust broadly support the Castor Neighbourhood Plan and are particularly pleased to see reference to the value of public green space and the linking of habitats. The direct reference of supporting the Trust's Masterplan is welcomed. The Trust Masterplan embraces a theme of sustainable growth in recognising the significant predicted growth of Peterborough and the surrounding area. If this plan supports NPT's Masterplan vision, we would suggest an approach to change and growth with a view to being part of the conversation on sustainable growth as opposed to approaching the issue with strict development limits (i.e. 30 houses over 20 years). The Trust supports the vision as set out in the plan. It is felt the third bullet point of the vision would benefit from a direct reference to public green space and could be re-written as: A rural community, set in a rich environmental and heritage area, which actively responds to environmental issues and seeks to protect and enhance it countryside landscape, wildlife habitats, biodiversity and public greenspace. The only specific comment the Trust would We have welcomed the opportunity to feed in to this plan and continue to be open to contribute further as appropriate.</p>	2/15/2017 2:59 PM
15	I fully support the plan as outlined.	2/15/2017 2:54 PM
16	That I support the content of my parish Neighbourhood Plan	2/15/2017 1:51 PM
17	I support the plan	2/14/2017 1:46 PM
18	Overall generally speaking we are in support of the broad intent of the neighbour plan for Castor	2/14/2017 11:33 AM
19	Fully support the neighbourhood plan as written	2/14/2017 11:26 AM
20	We support the content of our parish neighbourhood plan	2/13/2017 8:47 PM
21	This proposed development is wholly inappropriate for this green belt area.	2/13/2017 6:55 PM
22	<p>Dear Sirs I write in response to the proposed new settlement of 2500 homes north of Castor and Ailsworth. http://consult.peterborough.gov.uk/portal/planning/peterborough/lp16/fd/lp16fd?pointId=3887629 Peterborough Local Plan (Further Draft) December 2016 Regarding settlement development of area F as of the plan from section 5.53:</p>	2/5/2017 1:41 PM

<http://consult.peterborough.gov.uk/portal/planning/peterborough/lp16/fd/lp16fd?pointId=s1459345610154> We moved Peterborough in 1997 and since then have witnessed the significant population growth and expansion of settlements and industrial areas in the city and surrounding areas. We as a family have benefitted from the increased cultural and vibrant city life and environment that have transformed the city centre during this time. Through my work activities I have been actively engaged with and interested in pursuing the aim namely to enhance Peterborough as a city of Arts and Culture and as an Environment Capital. I am currently pursuing creative concepts and ideas to this end, that I am sure will help enhance the experience of participants of Peterborough life be they tourists, visitors or today's and tomorrow's inhabitants. I personally think that developing west of Peterborough is preferable to building on floodplain and with the future climate change risks. However I find myself at odds with the settlement of area F or any further spread of Peterborough's suburbs for a number of reasons, please see my comments below and with reference particularly to points: 1.9, 1.10, 2.4, 2.7, 5.33 to 5.38, 5.49 to 5.51 Car use: Car use increase has already meant the A47 and parkway systems have become ever more dangerous at peak rush hours west of Peterborough with tailbacks off the sliproads onto the dual carriage way. Both myself and my wife have been involved in RTAs through no fault of our own. My children would have been put at risk of serious injury had my wife not been driving a substantial environmentally unfriendly vehicle at the time. I have helped out and provided witness statements on other occasions where collisions have occurred. In short the road network is already struggling and dangerous at peak times. Settlement at area F will only increase car use and pressure on this system. I would imagine that developing underused sites city centre sites and increasing public transport networks throughout the inner city areas particularly targeting the deprived pockets of higher areas of unemployment to revive existing communities for future quality of life should be a first priority rather than building a dislocated settlement to the west of the city. A strategy for developing Area F will increase car dependency on Peterborough inhabitants and road use, not reduce it, and therefore is at odds with the council policies. While the stretch of A47 between Sutton roundabout and the A1 might be widened to two lanes this does nothing to address the dangerous nature of the traffic on the bypasses. It may also be in the city's interest to limit traffic speed on the A47 and parkway systems to 50 mph thus reducing emissions and the inherent dangers of high speed driving at rush hours. Peterborough being relatively flat offers great advantage for cyclists. Area F is situated far enough away from the city centre and other industry areas to prohibit cycle use for most people. I am a keen cyclist and fit for my age but regularly cycling into town and to my workspace in Fengate even from Castor is prohibited to rare times where there is sufficient leeway in my working day and I definitely do not need use of a vehicle. The path system is particularly poorly maintained around the Thorpe wood old A47 route with cracks and holes that can throw a rider or burst a tyre. The A47 bypass is too dangerous to cycle. It would seem an obvious idea to make a new industrial area to take advantage of the existing Nene Valley Railway that currently terminates close to the A1, in our current economic climate it seems to be a waste of desperately needed resources and infrastructure to have a functioning railway that is at present little used and whose many existing stops and stations have been largely abandoned where they could be reopened and service renewed at comparatively little effort. I can't help but think that the settlement of 'Area F' is symptomatic of an obsession with doing something by the A47 Castor and Ailsworth bypass rather than creatively thinking about what could be most sustainable and advantageous in developing the Nene Valley's existing infrastructure. University: Placing students this far out of the city would not contribute to their studies and I cannot see that it would be of any advantage to Peterborough. Placing the University as close to the city centre and Cathedral precincts as possible would be the obvious way to raise the vibrancy and public life of the city. Residents of Castor and Ailsworth have already been subject to problems with public transport particularly with last buses leaving Peterborough city centre before residents have finished work or pupil's school day (if they are participating with extra-curricular activities, which has a particular and specific impact on the children of working parents or families without their own transport – therefore disadvantaging such children). Unemployment: Area F does not address the problem of unemployment and deprivation in city centre pockets nor does it address the problem of the aging office space issues faced by the city centre. Increased settlement and ongoing development of unused sites and old office space into living accommodation combined with significant development of the public transport infrastructure connecting the inhabitants and the industrial zones should be the priority. Further more to making use of the Nene Valley Railway, industrial development proposed at area F could be better situated near the A1 within the area of the truckstop at Wansford and adjacent land. The latter has already been occasionally offered for development and is away from accommodation areas that industry might disturb. Residents of Wansford, Stibbington, Sutton, Castor and Ailsworth and Upton could travel there by cycle further reducing car use and providing employment and the Wansford station could provide an access point for city centre residents to travel to work by rail. Tourism: Area F does nothing to promote tourism to the city. Planned enhancement of the riversides including sympathetic developments of unused spaces would only point the city in the right direction. A strategy that enhanced the public transport and cycle opportunities would release car parking space that could be used for further accommodation and amenity space. The Key theatre area could then achieve its goal as one of the key green spaces of the city rather than being a dislocated building on the edge of a large car park that separates it from the rest of the city centre. As a priority, the Nene could be the beating heart of the city's tourism industry. Instead the growing suburban developments in this plan are spreading the city centre over a wider area leaving the centre undernourished and at places devoid of potential. Imagine a riverside where the apartments look over the tree lined Nene, where on an August bank holiday hire boats, boat trips and rowing regattas are taking place watched by a busy crowd surrounded with a festival of entertainments and attractions at the embankment zones. Agricultural Land: Long term this part of the country faces a severe challenge in the depletion of arable land and food production with the threat to fertile peatland through over-farming topsoil reduction from extensive ploughing and agriculture. There is also the recognised potential for future flooding of the lower fenland areas subject to the looming threat of sea level rise, higher global temperatures and a realisation that

	<p>a managed retreat is the only affordable and sustainable way forward for the fenlands. It would be irresponsible to reduce the available arable and productive lands in the region and contradict the Environment Capital aspirations of Peterborough to build on any arable land when brown field and unused city sites still exist as stated in the local plan: http://consult.peterborough.gov.uk/portal/planning/peterborough/lp16/fd/lp16fd?pointId=3887629 Wildlife and Nature: Area F will be close enough to impact on Castor Hanglands it will also require the removal of trees contrary to current aspirations to plant more trees. 2500 houses and the associated traffic and lighting can only have a detrimental effect on this Nature reserve, a buffer would do little to limit this. Conclusion: I can't find any persuasive argument how area F will help Peterborough in the short to long term where there is much to prioritise and develop in Peterborough city centre's existing settlements and wider infrastructure</p>	
23	<p>Overall I believe that this plan will have a positive influence on maintaining the rurality of the village and surrounding area and hope it will have a significant influence on PCC's Local Plan.</p>	1/31/2017 10:14 AM
24	<p>Traffic up to the A1 link at Wansford would become even more congested than it is the "country", not to mention the difficulties this would cause for Peterborough commuters to and from Leicester and the north of the city – dismissing this proposal would evidence the councils commitment to their own Green policy • The Plan does not appear to match up to the councils own Objectively Assessed Need (OAN) for housing in Peterborough, which suggests there is another motive for suggesting building here. • I understand that Cambridgeshire council have requested support for their plan to build an additional 2500 houses – I would like to see some public confirmation that there is no link to their need and the councils proposal to build the same number here in Peterborough, over and above the OAN.</p>	1/30/2017 4:18 PM
25	<p>Traffic generation has increased substantially over the past 10 years as a result of relatively small development. The roads cannot handle more than minimum expansion. The infrastructure (particularly foul water drainage) cannot support extensive development. There should be a restraint on large lorries using Helpston Road as a short cut.</p>	1/29/2017 2:36 PM
26	<p>I am in agreement with the entire Neighbourhood Plan</p>	1/29/2017 2:08 PM
27	<p>I support this Neighbourhood Plan fully.</p>	1/29/2017 2:06 PM
28	<p>We feel that there is an even greater need for this Neighbourhood Plan in view of the proposed housing development to the north of the parishes. We support the recommendations in this Neighbourhood Plan.</p>	1/26/2017 3:29 PM
29	<p>An excellent plan that defines the future of the village and its surrounding landscape</p>	1/24/2017 10:09 PM
30	<p>CASTOR NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN The Sutton Parish Councillors would like to confirm that they unanimously support the Castor Neighbourhood Plan and also congratulate everyone involved on their sustained hard work and commitment in producing such an excellent Neighbourhood Plan. Best Wishes. Yours sincerely Deirdre McCumiskey Clerk Sutton Parish Council</p>	1/24/2017 6:50 AM
31	<p>The Government has clearly stated a policy of preserving Green field sites and rural villages from developments and to use brown field sites. There are more than adequate brown field sites of LBC land on the edge of Peterborough to cater for development of proposed plans for Castor and Ailsworth</p>	1/23/2017 11:03 AM
32	<p>Please consider building on brown land, before distracting our beautiful countryside.</p>	1/17/2017 2:37 PM
33	<p>This is a unique village with a strong community and an excellent social and economic mix. To preserve this future growth needs to be organic and implemented at a pace with which the community can manage and adapt.</p>	1/17/2017 1:12 PM
34	<p>The proposed housing will impinge on Castor and most residents wonder if the planned scale is really necessary. The local plan mentions a University and 'park' on the south of the A47..Most residents think that is a 'deceitful' coded warning that even more houses will be built on this land and thus spoil the nationally important landscape</p>	1/17/2017 10:02 AM
35	<p>Peterborough boasts being an Environment City. The area of Castor and Ailsworth, as nurtured by this Neighbourhood Plan, will play a significant role in enabling the city to achieve its environmental aspirations.</p>	1/16/2017 5:48 PM
36	<p>Peterborough aspires to be an Environment City. The area of Castor and Ailsworth, as it is now, is a great asset to Peterborough, visited by countless walkers, cyclists, nature lovers, historical sites seekers and more. That the area remains much as it is, with a natural gradual, controlled growth as outlined in this Neighbourhood Plan, can be a vital part of Peterborough's aspiration.</p>	1/16/2017 5:29 PM
37	<p>I agree with the plan in these four areas and I support the proposals</p>	1/14/2017 5:01 PM
38	<p>Thank you for including us within your Regulation 14 consultation for the above. As the area does not border onto Leicestershire and it quite a distance away we do not foresee any impact of the proposals on Leicestershire and therefore have no comments to make at this time. Leicestershire County Council are fully supportive of the Neighbourhood Planning process and would like to wish you every success with your proposals. We have over 67 active Neighbourhood Plan groups within Leicestershire, where available their draft/adopted plans can be viewed at www.leicestershirecommunities.org.uk/np by clicking on the relevant district and then choosing from the settlements listed. Policy Officer, Communities Leicestershire County Council</p>	1/9/2017 10:50 AM